Summary: This book addresses the use and regulation of traditional drugs such as peyote, ayahuasca, coca leaf, cannabis, khat and Salvia divinorum. The uses of these substances can often be found at the intersection of diverse areas of life, including politics, medicine, shamanism, religion, aesthetics, knowledge transmission, socialization, and celebration. The collection analyzes how some of these psychoactive plants have been progressively incorporated and regulated in developed Western societies by both national legislation and by the United Nations Drug Conventions. It focuses mainly, but not only, on the debates in court cases around the world involving the claim of religious use and the legal definitions of “religion.” It further touches upon issues of human rights and cognitive liberty as they relate to the consumption of drugs. While this collection emphasizes certain uses of psychoactive substances in different cultures and historical periods, it is also useful for thinking about the consumption of drugs in general in contemporary societies. The cultural and informal controls discussed here represent alternatives to the current merely prohibitionist policies, which are linked to the spread of illicit and violent markets. By addressing the disputes involved in the regulation of traditional drug use, this volume reflects on notions such as origin, place, authenticity, and tradition, thereby relating drug policy to broader social science debates.
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**1. Human Rights and Drug Conventions: Searching for Humanitarian Reason in Drug Laws**

Luciana Boiteux, Luciana Peluzio Chernicharo and Camila Souza Alves

This article will address possible conflicts between the UN Drug Conventions and Human Rights treaties, specifically in regard to countries committing human rights violations in applying drug laws. Considering that Human Rights are at the core of the UN System and, together with Development and Peace and Security, represent one of the three pillars of the United Nations enshrined in the UN Charter, it is preposterous that drug policies applied by UN Members violate the individual and social rights provided for in many international instruments that are assumed to be binding to State's interventions. Nevertheless, there are many examples of human rights violations, such as the death penalty for drug offenses, inhumane treatment imposed on drug addicts, violations of individual guarantees in criminal
cases involving drugs, and the prohibition of substances such as the coca leaf, traditionally consumed in the Andes in South America, that will be examined in this chapter.

2. Coca in Debate: The Contradiction and Conflict between the UN Drug Conventions and the Real World

Pien Metaal

The traditional use of coca leaf is surrounded by contradictions in terms of the control mechanisms of the international drug conventions. The 1961 Convention establishes the leaf as a controlled substance in the body of its articles and in its schedules of dangerous drugs, and the 1988 Convention establishes its traditional use as permitted “where historical evidence exists.” The use of the leaf is present in a variety of contexts around the world, but mainly in the Andean Amazon region. This chapter will tell the on-going story of the long road toward international acceptance of the tradition of chewing coca leaf, which is paved with confusion, contradictions, and cultural richness. Several countries in South America have a legal base that allows for traditional use; still the international drug treaties do not recognize these, and attempts for change are blamed to “threatened the integrity of the global drug control system.” This chapter tells the story of how the coca plant and its traditional uses have reached the status of public enemy.

3. Marijuana and Religious Freedom in the United States

Mark R. Brown

Beginning with state laws that criminalized marijuana's use, and national laws that imposed taxes on transfers, the use of marijuana in the United States has long fallen under governmental control. In the 1960s and 1970s, regulation of marijuana intensified, with President Nixon going so far as to declare a "war on drugs." Unlike peyote, which likewise experienced a lengthy history of criminalization at the local level, marijuana has been unable to find shelter from American drug laws under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution. The First Amendment broadly protects the "free exercise" of religion. This protection has been extended to Native American's use of peyote, but courts and legislatures in the United States have steadfastly refused to extend similar protection to marijuana use--regardless of the group. Why this has happened is unclear, though the demonization of marijuana and the groups that prefer it as their sacramental drugs of choice are likely contributing factors.

4. Peyote, Identity, and Equal Protection in the United States

Kevin Feeney

This chapter will seek to outline the parameters of the “trust responsibility” as it relates to protections for the religious use of peyote by American Indians, to explain the significance of this doctrine in the preservation of tribal entities and American Indian culture, and to examine its shortcomings in relation to the preservation of the cultural institution of peyotism. Since American Indians first received a federal exemption for religious use of peyote in 1965, many
groups seeking legal protection for the religious use of psychoactive substances have sought to capitalize on this exemption in the form of an Equal Protection challenge, arguing that their religious use of psychoactive drugs is parallel to the American Indian use of peyote. Challenges to the exemption are largely premised on the notion that “special” treatment of American Indians is based upon a fundamentally racial categorization, and is therefore constitutionally intolerable. The trust responsibility, while frequently misconstrued, has been applied in ways that raise legitimate questions regarding the use of racial criteria by the federal government when dealing with Native peoples. The importance of the trust responsibility will be examined in light of these race based Equal Protection challenges, and further critical examination of this doctrine will be made to understand how race has played a role in regulating religious use of peyote, and also how the static views of culture and cultural identity inherent in the racial application of this doctrine may ultimately threaten, rather than preserve, traditional American Indian practices such as peyotism.

5. From the Sacrilegious to the Sacramental: A Global Review of Rastafari Cannabis Case Law

Melissa Bone

This chapter will explore the innate legal tensions present between the right to religious freedom and global prohibitionist drug policies in relation to Rastafari cannabis use. While many jurisdictions have recognized Rastafarianism as a religion, and have acknowledged the conceptual flexibility inherent within religious manifestations, the case law largely fails to reconcile the present tensions in favor of religious freedom. Yet, although the bulk of these cases have been determined in favor of upholding prohibitionist drug policies, the often-habitual majoritarian reasoning behind such decisions is perhaps less evident in some jurisdictions. Some judiciaries have recently given more weight to the religious rights of the Rastafari than others. This chapter will chart the historic progression of the extent to which Rastafarianism and its associated herbal sacrament is now recognized as a religion/religious manifestation, and is protected. It will also compare the diverse judicial reasoning of five jurisdictions confronted with this conflict, and will ultimately consider whether the courts are becoming more progressive in upholding the religious rights of the Rastafari to consume cannabis.

6. The Expansion of Brazilian Ayahuasca Religions: Law, Culture and Locality

Kevin Feeney and Beatriz Caiuby Labate

This chapter will explore globalization, diversity, and issues of social justice by examining the global expansion of ayahuasca religions through a lens of transnationalism, and against the backdrop of international drug control. Politics have often equated cultural groups with particular national boundaries, and, proceeding from this premise, have made legal and cultural exceptions for groups that were seen as specifically situated geographically. A perfect illustration of this is in a provision of article 32 of the 1971 United Nations Convention on Psychotropic Substances, which permits signatories to make reservations for “plants growing wild which contain psychotropic substances…which are traditionally used by certain small, clearly determined groups in magical or religious rites.” The provision reflects a view that exemptions for psychoactive drug use are acceptable if they are confined to a specific locality, and to a specific culture group. The ayahuasca religions pose a particular challenge to this line of thinking. The Brazilian-based religions of Santo Daime and
the União do Vegetal (UDV) have established a global presence with international adherents, followers who are not constrained by national boundaries, and not identifiable as members of any particular ethnic categories. As these religions expand outside of their traditional regional and cultural contexts, they come to be viewed through the Western framework of the “War on Drugs,” and become classified as criminal enterprises. The expansion of the ayahuasca traditions will be used as a foundation for examining issues of international human rights law and protections for religious freedom within the current prohibitionist system and global milieu of cultural transnationalism.

7. Framing the Chew: Narratives of Development, Drugs, and Danger with Regard to Khat (Catha Edulis)

Axel Klein

Khat has become increasingly subject to legal regulation in European and North American countries, even though there is very little consumption among mainstream populations. The regulation of khat has been introduced for the “protection” of migrant populations, and is sometimes even demanded by anti-khat campaigners. The unintended consequence of these bans, once introduced, is that migrant populations are targeted by law enforcement. This has not helped these groups’ social integration nor promoted public health. What has not been considered in the discussion is the effect on khat farmers. Khat has been an engine of development for parts of Kenya and Ethiopia. It has also provided an opportunity for capital accumulation in Somaliland. It is a major source of revenue across the region. The positions of all stakeholders must be considered when defining the regulatory context, as well as the dimension of community cohesion and the potential for development.

8. Salvia divinorum, Hallucinogens, and the Determination of Medical Utility

O. Hayden Griffin, III

In 1970, The United States government enacted the Controlled Substances Act (CSA). The CSA usurped all existing federal laws regulating controlled substances and established three primary criteria for evaluating all drugs: medical utility, potential for abuse, and safety of the substance. Since the passage of the CSA, the federal government has generally taken the lead when regulating drugs; however, a psychoactive plant, Salvia divinorum, has illustrated some of the problems when evaluating hallucinogenic drugs under the current regulation scheme. Beginning in the late 1990s, Salvia divinorum began to appear for sale on the Internet and in “head shops,” often marketed as a “legal high.” The availability of a “legal high” has concerned many state lawmakers, but failed to gain the attention of federal drug regulators. To date, nearly half of the individual United States have chosen to regulate Salvia divinorum. One of the reasons for the regulation is that hallucinogens are not typically considered to have medical utility and have often been deemed to have no recognized medical utility. Furthermore, hallucinogens tend to be niche drugs with no real potential for abuse or addiction. As recently witnessed with the case of Salvia divinorum, the plant may not have a recognized medical utility, but the plant does not seem to present any real danger either. These circumstances can be problematic, since the rush to regulate a “legal high” seems to take precedence over determining if Salvia divinorum has medical utility.

9. “Legalize Spiritual Discovery”: The Trials of Dr. Timothy Leary
Devin R. Lander

Beginning with his arrest for possession of marijuana December 24, 1965, Dr. Timothy Leary became embroiled in a very public series of court cases that sought initially to utilize the Free Exercise Clause of the United States Constitution to challenge established United States drug laws regarding marijuana. Though Leary’s attempts at using the Free Exercise Clause were unsuccessful, his case was eventually heard by the United States Supreme Court, who, in 1969, found major elements of the 1937 Marihuana Tax Law unconstitutional and overturned Leary’s conviction. This chapter will trace evolution of Leary’s defense argument from one based on religious freedom to one based on due process and the Fifth Amendment protection against self-incrimination. Leary’s initial freedom of religion defense was based on the success of the Native American Church’s 1964 California State Supreme Court ruling that protected that group’s sacramental use of peyote within its religious ceremonies. This chapter will also describe how the success of Native American Church case led Leary to popularize and speak out in favor of small groups of psychedelic users establishing their own “official” religions. Leary followed his own advice by creating the League for Spiritual Discovery in 1966 and was seen as a religious leader by the founder of the Neo-American Church (another psychedelic quasi-religion) as well. Seeing themselves as a persecuted people under legal attack for their spiritual and experimental practices, both the Neo-American Church and the League for Spiritual Discovery sought to emulate the Native American Church and establish legal protections for the use of illegal substances.

10. Cannabis and the Psychedelics: Reviewing the UN Drug Conventions

Amanda Feilding

The current international prohibitionist approach to the regulation of psychoactive drugs causes serious harms. It creates an illicit market that is responsible for conflict and corruption; denies medication to the world’s poorest; and impedes scientific research into the potential benefits of psychoactive substances. Moreover, it undermines the personal and societal benefit of allowing people to do things that they value, so long as they do not harm others. At the heart of the problem lie the three UN Drug Conventions, which mandate a one-size-fits-all criminalizing approach on all parties. The work of the Beckley Foundation’s Global Cannabis Commission, and a new Beckley Foundation Report on reforming the UN Drug Conventions, spell out how countries—acting alone or, better, in concert with other like-minded nations—could work within the framework of international law in order to implement drug policies that better meet their own special needs and circumstances. Recent initiatives for reform, emanating mostly from the drug-producing and transit countries of Latin America, provide encouraging signs that progress is within our grasp.


Charlotte Walsh

This chapter will examine the blurred boundaries between the sacred and the secular when it comes to psychedelic experiences, and the inevitable ensuing arbitrariness involved in protecting some such rituals and not others. It will put forth the argument that there is a need to move beyond simply seeking exemptions from drug prohibition in the name of religious freedom; rather, there should be a
broader right to ingest psychedelics as an aspect of cognitive liberty. Cognitive liberty is the right to control one's own consciousness. It is a concept that equates to freedom of thought, a right protected internationally by the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and enforceable in Europe through Article 9 of the European Convention of Human Rights.

12. Fear and Loathing in Drugs Policy: Risk, Rights, and Approaches to Drug Policy and Practice

Ross Coomber and Nigel South

The proliferation of controls over drugs and drug users in countries around the world over the last century has in part been a result of poor understanding of the substances themselves and/or those that use them. A common thread running through the development of such controls is recourse to images and beliefs about the substances that are exaggerated and often false. Many of these beliefs—despite a contrary evidence base—continue to prevail in discourses around drugs. Fundamental to this is a continued lack of understanding of how risks and harms manifest and a tendency to generalize such experiences as an inevitable outcome of drug use. Drugs, their use, and the outcomes of that use, are not pharmacologically determined, but are situated and contingent. The concept of drug, set and setting (and process) enables us to better understand drug effects and how they can produce different, including non-problem, outcomes, be controlled by both individuals and groups, and have outcomes for societies that are positive as well as negative. Understanding “how” drugs are used and the extent to which they are utilized provides better insight into the real nature of drug use in any one context and counters simple ideas about generic, simple, and inevitable (destructive) outcomes. Failure to meaningfully contextualize risks has resulted in abuses of individual, social, cultural rights and traditions and ecological damage.
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